

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website:www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No.214/2020

Shri. Ivan Gerard Rodrigues,
C-4, Nirmala Housing Society,
Margao Goa. 403601

.....Appellant

v/s

1. The Public Information Officer,
Secretary, Village Panchayat Cavelossim,
Salcete Goa.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 14/12/2020

Decided on: 15/11/2021

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Ivan Gerard Rodrigues, C-4, Nirmala Housing Society, Margao Goa, 403601, by his application dated 04/07/2020 filed under sec 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Office of Village Panchayat Cavelossim, Salcete Goa.
2. Since the Appellant did not receive any response deeming the said as refusal, the Appellant preferred first appeal before Block Development Officer at Margao Goa on 04/09/2020 being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. The FAA by its order dated 13/10/2020 allowed the said appeal and directed the PIO to provide the information at point No. 1,2 and 3 free of cost, within 7 days from the receipt of the order.
4. In spite of the said order, the PIO failed to furnish the complete information therefore the Appellant preferred this second appeal under sec 19(3) of the Act, before the Commission.

5. Parties were informed through notice, pursuant to which the PIO, Mr. Allanddin Maniyar appeared and filed his reply through entry registry dated 27/09/2021. According to him the RTI application was responded by him on 25/07/2020 within stipulated time, requesting the Appellant to visit the office of PIO to inspect the relevant file, and therefore the Appellant inspected the file personally. Further he submitted that he complied with the order of FAA and all the available information has been furnished to the Appellant vide letter No. VP/CAV/2020-2021/403 dated 14/10/2020. However the Appellant refuted the said contention of PIO in his rejoinder dated 19/10/2021 and claimed that part of information is withheld by the PIO.
6. During the course of argument, the PIO submitted that he is ready and willing to furnish the certified copy of documents. Accordingly, Commission directed him to produce the documents on next date of hearing and fixed the matter on 10/11/2021 for compliance.
7. In the course of hearing on 10/11/2021, the PIO furnished certified copy of all relevant documents to the Appellant. Appellant scrutinised the information provided by the PIO and submitted that he has received the information from the PIO and he is satisfied with the information.

Appellant also endorsed on appeal memo that "All information received". As the requested information has been furnished, no intervention of this Commission is warranted. Accordingly the matter is disposed off.

- Proceeding closed.
- Pronounced in open court.
- Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner